

## DCP 466 Working Group Meeting 10

12 May 2026 at 13:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Alex Riley [AR]	Tarmac
Alexander Pentecost [AP]	Eclipse Power
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN
Dave Wornell [DW]	NGED
Erik Baguzis [EB]	Indigo
Itunu Akin-Olawale [IAO]	SP ENW
James Jones [JJ]	SSE
John Harmer [JH]	Waters Wye
Lorna Murray [LM]	SPEN
Peter Waymont [PW]	UKPN
Ryan Farrell [RF]	NPg
Seun Adedapo [SA]	National Grid
Swapan Bahrani [SB]	Brook Green Supply
Tom Holderness [TH]	Ofgem
Tony Collings [TC]	Ecotricity
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
Richard Colwill [RC] (Chair)	ElectraLink
<b>Apologies</b>	
David Fewings [DF]	SE First
Neil Shah [NS]	Switch Business
Nik Wills [NW]	Stark
Louise Robinson [LR]	ESP
Steve Harris [SH]	MAID

Victoria Burkett [VB]	E.ON Net
Wayne Farnell [WF]	Savills

## 1. Administration

### Recording

- 1.1 The Chair noted that the meeting is being recorded. The purpose of this recording is purely to aid the Technical Secretariat in producing an accurate report of the meeting.

### Apologies

- 1.2 The Chair noted received apologies. These can be found in the attendees list above.

### Competition Law Guidance and Terms of Reference

- 1.3 The Working Group agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.

### Previous Minutes

- 1.4 The Chair noted that the minutes of the last meeting were not yet available and they will be finalised offline.

### Open Actions

- 1.5 The Chair confirmed that all actions captured within this meeting will be recorded within the action log; this can be found in the Appendix.

## 2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1. The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting is to discuss the RFI data and finalise the draft Change Report within the Working Group.

## 3. Discuss RFI Data

- 3.1. The Working Group reviewed the impact assessment and noted that some areas showed different TNUoS impacts compared to the overall position. Members queried these differences and discussed, at a high level, how the underlying data had been derived.
- 3.2. Through this discussion, it was recognised that variations in approach—such as differing assumptions, inputs, or interpretation of the methodology—could influence the results. As a result, the group agreed that further validation of the data and methodologies used would be beneficial to provide additional confidence in the outputs.
- 3.3. The group also reaffirmed that the analysis should focus on the aggregated position, and that individual licence areas or parties should not be identified in any reporting. It was acknowledged that maintaining a broadly consistent understanding of the methodology would help support comparability across the dataset.

#### 3.4. **Post-meeting note:**

- 3.5. Following the meeting, a further review of the methodologies used to derive the RFI data was undertaken. As part of this process, updated data was provided by a small number of licence areas. This resulted in the TNUoS impact outputs becoming more closely aligned with those of other licence areas, reducing the previously observed variation.

## 4. Any Other Business

---

- 1.6 The Chair asked the group whether there were any other items of business to discuss.
- 1.7 There were no other items raised.

## 2. Agreed Next Steps

---

- 2.1 The Working Group discussed the next steps, and the following items were captured:
- The Secretariat to finalise the draft Change Report ready to present to the DCUSA Panel on 20 May 2026.

## 5. Date of Next Meeting – TBC

---

- 5.1. The next Working Group meeting is currently TBC.
- 5.2. **Post meeting note** – the placeholder meeting scheduled for 14 May 2026 was cancelled due to no longer being needed. All documents were finalised offline.

APPENDIX A

6. New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update

7. Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update
09/01	Add extra text in relation to the housekeeping changes in the change report.	Secretariat	Closed.
09/02	Circulate the updated change report to the working group.	Secretariat	Closed.